ON POINT

THE NATIONAL NEWSLETTER OF Family Voice AUSTRALIA

OCTOBER 2018

Your update on the campaign to protect children from online pornography



Thank you so much to those who have signed the Open Letter to the Prime Minister requesting Cleanfeed internet in Australia. At time of printing, over 3,000 people have signed this important petition!

Many people like you have recognised the extreme danger posed by pornography. Pornography devastates families and relationships, and it's destroying children too.

A 2013 study shows that, on average, kids are first exposed to pornography at age 11. And by age 15, 100% of males and 80% of females reported that they'd been exposed to violent online pornography.

Reports show that children are sexually abusing other children at an increasing rate, and given that such behaviour has become more serious since the widespread uptake of broadband, it's clear that pornography is an aggravating factor in many cases.

For instance, a group of six-year-old boys at an Adelaide school forced five-year-olds to perform oral sex.

And Trinity Grammar School in …

continued on page 2 ▶

IN THIS issue

P2 Visit our new website!

P3 Scott Morrison is raising a voice for our children

P3 What's on your mind?

P4 Thank you!

P4 From the National Director

Your update on the campaign to protect children from online pornography

◀ *continued from page 1*

… Sydney removed a year one child because naked boys were performing sex acts on each other in the school toilets and playground.

A grade one boy at a Victorian school was reported to have "dragged female students into the toilets and forcibly removed their clothes, making them perform oral sex and kissing their genitals".

This behaviour is shocking – but it's the sad consequence of pervasive pornography damaging children's understanding of healthy sexuality. Together, we must call for action.

Countries such as the UK and Israel are already protecting their youngest citizens by directing internet service providers to provide families with a default Cleanfeed service, with age-verified over-18s given the option to receive explicit content. This means pornography is only available online to adults who choose to receive it.



Australia must implement Cleanfeed internet too – to protect our children! This can happen if thousands of people join together ...

Australia must implement Cleanfeed internet too – to protect our children! This can happen if thousands of people join together in a strong call on government to provide moral leadership and do what's right for our children.

If you haven't already signed the Open Letter, please do so today at **familyvoice.org.au/cleanfeed/cleanfeed-open-letter**. We, who love what is pure and true, and care about the innocent, must resist this evil and call on our leaders to do the same.

Please pray that the Prime Minister will act swiftly on this serious issue!

Visit your new FamilyVoice website!

FamilyVoice is your voice for what's good for the nation – and we want to be as effective as possible in helping you to recognise and share that which is good and right.

So, we're very pleased to give you a new FamilyVoice website!

The new mobile friendly website will be filled with resources to equip you, with current and previous research papers about issues affecting your family – such as Cleanfeed internet, religious freedom, and more.

You'll also be able to have your say on matters that are important to you by adding your name to petitions, contacting politicians, and signing up to local activities in your state or territory.

Visit the website now at **familyvoice.org.au**.



Scott Morrison is raising a voice for our children

FamilyVoice Australia is pleased to see how our new Prime Minister, Scott Morrison, is standing up for our children. Mr Morrison has not been silent about his Christian faith and, as *The Daily Telegraph* has reported, he's voiced his concern about schools informing children about explicit sexual behaviour.



Mr Morrison is rightly concerned about 'Safe Schools' programs in public schools, which prompt teachers to discuss sexual activity with children, and includes activities such as role-playing bisexuality.

He's also expressed displeasure over reports of teachers being taught to spot transgender students so teachers can assist and counsel them. He's said that there is no need for 'gender whisperers' in schools, and that teachers should not be overstepping their roles as educators.

Please pray for Mr Morrison in his new role. Pray that he will act on his rightful concerns about 'Safe Schools' and the gender games being played in our public schools. Pray that he will find solid support from parents and educators.

Thank you for your heart for our children, and for your prayers for our leaders as they enact laws and policies that affect our families!

What's on YOUR mind?

We appreciate hearing your views and perspectives about current issues impacting your family, such as:

- Something inappropriate being taught to your children.
- An elderly parent or friend anxious about their care providers or euthanasia.
- A teenager struggling with the politics of sex and gender and being intimidated or accused of being a bigot because of their faith?
- Someone in the workplace being pressured into conforming to workplace directives about gender diversity, etc.
- Companies being required to sign up to gender diversity policies as a condition of tendering or inclusion in contracts.
- Freedoms of conscience, speech or religion issues.

Here are some of this month's comments from friends like you:

Heather: What an abuse of children that an emotional or psychological problem should be treated, not with psychological support, but with permanent medical interventions. Give the children a chance to grow up first. How quickly an ideological trend can take hold and replace common sense!

Joarden: So sad how little human life is valued. . ..:-((so many believe that past 22 weeks is OK [for abortion], for social reasons??!!! Babies at that age have SURVIVED.

Let us know about what's on your mind – and have your say at **facebook.com/familyvoiceaustralia** because FamilyVoice is your voice, standing up for family, faith and freedom:

FROM THE National Director



Dear Friend,

I hope you enjoy this edition of *On Point* – your new-look FamilyVoice newsletter.

On Point is here to report back to you the good news stories about how your prayers, gifts and advocacy with FamilyVoice Australia are raising a voice for good in our society.

And I know you'll be encouraged to read of how your support is making a difference in our parliaments, our schools, our churches and our families.

This newsletter is part of a new season for FamilyVoice Australia – and for me, in my new role as National Director. Thank you to those who've sent me messages of support and prayers for this role. I sincerely value them.

We also have a new, user-friendly website, which I hope will be an increasingly valuable resource for you. Visit the new website at **www.familyvoice.org.au**, and watch it grow as new resources get included in the days ahead.

FamilyVoice Australia is making changes to partner with you as a voice for good – standing with you as you live out your faith, hold firmly to your values, and care for your family in this challenging cultural climate.

We must not be silenced. It's our nation too and we are here for the benefit of all – grounded as we are in the grace and generosity of our God and Saviour Jesus Christ.

Thank you for joining with us – and thousands of others – in this mission. It's a privilege to work with you and to speak up for our families, our faith, and our freedom!

Your brother in Christ,

Charles Newington

Charles Newington
National Director

"FamilyVoice Australia is making changes to partner with you as a voice for good – standing with you as you live out your faith, hold firmly to your values, and care for your family."

Thank you!

A huge thank you for your support of the Cleanfeed Campaign! Your contribution to help reach the financial goal means that thousands more people were made aware of the problem of internet pornography.

Many of these people, like you, have added their names to the Open Letter to the Prime Minister, calling on the government to provide Cleanfeed internet as a default so that young children are protected from the dangers of violent online pornography.

Thank you for being a voice for good in this vitally important area!

EXTRACTS

Articles or extracts from MainPoint may be used for other publications, provided that the reprint includes the following notice:

"Reprinted from MainPoint by permission of FamilyVoice Australia, 4th Floor, 68 Grenfell Street, Adelaide SA 5000."

We seek to proclaim the goodness and truth of the Triune God as revealed in His Holy Word the Bible, in the person of Jesus Christ, God the Son, and in Creation. We promote God's wise ways for a healthy society where marriage is honoured, human life is respected, families can flourish and fundamental freedoms are enjoyed.

Printed in Australia by Adelaide Business Bureax, 2A George Street, Hindmarsh SA 5000

Donations to FamilyVoice Australia are not tax deductible.

FamilyVoice AUSTRALIA

FamilyVoice Australia
4th Floor, 68 Grenfell St,
Adelaide SA 5000
Phone: 1300 365 965
Fax: 08 8223 5850
Email: office@fava.org.au
familyvoice.org.au

/familyvoiceaustralia
@familyvoiceaust

Family Voice AUSTRALIA
MAIN POINT
A quarterly essay on important social and political issues
OCTOBER 2018

Identity politics

The sexualisation of society and its impact on children and young people.

Summary

- **Identity politics is a movement reshaping society's standards of education, politics, freedom, morals and family** based on the idea that human identity and worth are primarily instinctual and evolutionary rather than innate or endowed. This has compromised our capacity for free civil discussion, for understanding human fulfilment, the role of government in education as well as the design of sex and biological gender.
- **The current construction of sex and gender has resulted in a sanctioned exposure of children** to sexualised material and experiences, which they lack the maturity to process objectively.
- **Recommendations are necessary to ensure school programs have greater transparency and parental collaboration**, focusing on education (not indoctrination), ensuring true freedom of speech and academia, promoting a holistic view of identity and sexuality and relating how this impacts on relationships, character development and wider society.
- **Christians affirm that identity and full humanity derive from Christ** through whom we may receive a deeper and more secure identity than that imposed by radical political and social agendas. Christians consider it a matter of faith and duty to promote the Christian identity ethic and its benefits to society at large.

From sex to sexualisation

There has been a shift in culture from 'free love' to 'shaping culture by sex'. This sexualisation of society employs the sexual attributes of sexual desirability and behaviour as the "measure of a person's value and worth". [1]

Kamal Weerakoon has provided us with a very good outline of what sexualisation looks like in practical terms: "Sexualisation involves social and cultural forces which influence and shape us, and to the reception and appropriation of those influences in our lives. As a social process, sexualisation involves being inappropriately defined by a sexual identity."

There are strong socio-cultural influences that contribute to the process of sexualisation: advertising, popular music, film media and online social media that define 'the good life' in sexualised terms - not just in having sex, but more broadly in being socially affirmed for being sexually desirable.

Weerakoon suggests that when we see ourselves this way, we are doing two things:

> *First, we evaluate ourselves according to our sexuality. Our sexual desirability and performance become more and more important; everything else about us – our athleticism, artistic creativity, intelligence, craftsmanship, relational sensitivity, kindness, courage – become less and less important. Secondly, we take for granted that we have the right to define what it means for me to 'be sexually satisfied'. No-one outside me has the right to tell me who I am sexually, or how to conduct myself sexually – least of all 'God' or 'the church'. Anyone who tries to stop me from achieving sexual satisfaction – whatever that means for me – is at best old-fashioned, and at worst downright oppressive and evil.* [2]

From free love to Identity Politics

Throughout the second half of the Twentieth Century the psychology of sex became increasingly politicised. Historian Carl Trueman says the "seismic shifts" we have experienced in our culture demonstrate that *the politics of sexual identity rests upon a much wider and deeper transformation of human identity than simply sexual preference. And that so many of us have been caught by surprise by the rapid acceptance of transgenderism … reveals how blind we have been to these tectonic cultural shifts and to the means by which they have been made both normal and normative.* [3]

These fundamental shifts have involved a deep transformation in the way individuals view themselves. The notion of 'Psychological Man', made popular by the sociologist Philip Rieff, captures a core aspect of this identity-shift. Psychological Man locates all his meaning and identity in "his own inner well-being and happiness". This idea was merged with those of Freud and others, moving sex to the heart of individual and social identity, thus creating a new definition of human happiness that is focused around sexual satisfaction.

Trueman explains: *Happiness and personal satisfaction had been the hallmark of Enlightenment thinking and found its most artistic expression in romanticism. What Freud did was take the internalising impulse of romanticism and set it forth in scientific idiom of psychoanalysis, thus giving his philosophy a persuasive form of expression. He also thereby [focused much] of human identity and happiness specifically upon human sexuality* – by identifying sexual repression as a major source of unhappiness.[7] Freud argued that human identity development arose from more than the sexual drive, but the current politics of sexuality follows Freud in blaming unhappiness on the repressive influences of conservative institutions such as the family and the church.

Once the idea that "identity was primarily

sexual" became trendy, it was coupled with popular culture, entertainment and advertising. Trueman writes, "We need to understand that every commercial we ever see is projecting an image of Psychological Man to us: human beings as those who find fulfilment in the purchase of goods that will make us happier and, more often than not, sexier." [7]

Weaponising sexuality

In the 1950s and '60s, these changes in our understanding of human identity were integrated with ideas of 'oppression' in socialist theory, which had also begun to shift their grounding from the economic realm into psychological and sexual spheres. Italian philosopher Augusto Del Noce described this move as a new "warfare against repression" generally. Other leading lights in these new movements were Wilhelm Reich and Herbert Marcuse, upon whose work the sexual revolution and political movements of the 1960s were built.

Reich in particular viewed sexual repression as an enemy of true human identity, and responsible for "creating the pathologies and the dysfunctions of the world". He even believed governments "should supervise and indeed enforce the sexual liberation of the populace".[7] A free society would therefore: "provide ample room and security for the gratification of natural needs. Thus, it will not only not prohibit a love relationship between two adolescents of the opposite sex but will give it all manner of social support. Such a society will 'conclude that any adult who hinders the development of the child's sexuality should be severely dealt with.'"[7]

Reich was not universally accepted, but his view that young people should be free to gratify natural desires strengthened the argument against restraint from traditional influences such as parents. In Reich's thinking, human beings are fundamentally sexual in nature, and it is the State's responsibility to provide the right conditions in which this sexual identity could flourish. Politically, this had huge implications for marriage and the family in their traditional and monogamous sense, now seen as the "repressive social institution par excellence"[7]. Reich's work escalates sexualisation into a battle with the institutions of family and (Christian) religion, as we hear today in the rhetoric of some in secular society.

The fact that sexuality had become central to identity led to the co-opting of language traditionally belonging to the domain of civil rights. "When sexuality is identity, then sexuality has access to all of the cultural rhetoric which surrounds other identities and, most significantly, to the moral history of such". [7]

The co-opting of civil rights rhetoric by the sexual revolution has led to an emotive problem when it comes to challenging beliefs that sexuality defines identity. "Because the language of freedom/oppression and the background of the civil rights movement has been so comprehensively adopted by the LGBT lobbyists, it is virtually impossible to express any dissent with the movement without being immediately categorised as an irrational bigot motivated by hate." [7]

Regardless of individual rights to hold different views – or to suggest a logical argument against marriage redefinition – the response is an accusation of bigotry and hate. This brands a dissenting person as being against freedom and equality and for the oppression of a suffering minority: "the sexual revolution is part of an overall revision of what it means to be a person; and that revisionism has been brought about not so much by cogent argument as by other means"[7] such as intimidation, emotive name-calling and so on.

In this ideology, every distinction of sexual identity is built upon the foundation of someone's happiness. Any questioning of sexual identity is therefore considered oppressive and to be silenced because it is considered an attack upon a person's identity, happiness and freedom. Once a person's sexual identity becomes a form of political and social power, it becomes a powerful reason to be politically active.

This then became the mission of the new intelligentsia – to revise history, to ignore and obscure the achievements of democratic and Christian societies and to use the wedge of (sexual) victimhood in the cracks and fault-lines of society. The so-called victim is used as a tool of a broader political agenda with little concern for the damage to individuals, families and institutions.

What sexualisation is doing to children and young people

Most children and young people are exposed to sexual images and language through the internet, movies, music and broader popular culture long before they understand what they're dealing with. Exposure to sexual imagery and language is so commonplace that young people assume it's the norm and this informs their understanding of sexual behaviour with others.

Technology has become a major force in the sexualisation of young people. According to the Fifth National Survey of Secondary Students and Sexual Health (2013), carried out among over 2,000 Year 10, 11, and 12 students from Government, Catholic and Independent schools around Australia:

a) Half of all students reported having received a sexually explicit text message;

b) Just over a quarter of all students reported that they had sent a sexually explicit photo of themselves;

c) Most sexually active students reported receiving (84%) and sending (72%) sexually explicit text messages;

d) Half of all sexually active students reported sending a sexually explicit nude or nearly nude photo or video of themselves, while 70% reported receiving such a photo or video. [4]

Shelley Walker and others have provided some useful qualitative analysis on the effects of the sexualisation of technology.[5] She cites how the practice of sexting highlights the impact of sexualisation upon identity:

a) Young women feel pressured to present themselves as objects for sexual consumption, and young men carry expectations to respond to this;

b) Young people may become desensitised to sexual images, particularly as pornography changes what is seen as 'normal';

c) Young women are more likely to be negatively affected by sexting activities as there is a possible link between gendered sexual violence targeting women and the pressure on young women to send images of themselves to their friends.

Australian sociologist Michael Flood has noted the sexualisation of the culture and its effect on young people:

> *It is well documented that sexual media, particularly sexualised representations of girls and women, can encourage girls and young women to see themselves primarily in sexual terms, to equate their worth and appeal with narrow standards of physical attractiveness, and to see themselves as sexual objects… Both correlational and experimental studies find that adolescents' and young adults' exposure to media which sexualises girls and women is associated with greater acceptance of stereotyped and sexist notions about gender and sexual roles, including notions of women as sexual objects.* [6]

Michael Flood has noted that the consumption of porn by boys and young men intensifies attitudes supportive of sexual coercion and increases their likelihood of perpetrating assault. [6]

He also highlights a link between sexual behaviour among young people, including early sexual debut, and the consumption of sexual media content.

Flood adds that significant data shows viewing pornography is related to male sexual aggression towards women. Although this data is strongest in relation to violent pornography, it does still hold for so-called nonviolent forms, especially if pornography is viewed frequently by users. In addition, Flood links pornography with negative outcomes in relationships, including decreased intimacy, infidelity, and sexual "addiction". [6]

The sexualisation of society has led to young people engaging in sexual activity at an earlier age, often before they have the maturity to understand the personal, emotional and social implications of their actions. Few see marriage as the ideal context for discovering or exploring their sexuality.

A number of scholars and doctors have pointed out the lower age of sexual debut in Australia over the past several decades. First sexual intercourse takes place on average around 16 years of age, and young people generally have more partners than previous generations did. The National Survey of Australian Secondary Students and Sexual Health found that:

a) The majority of students (69%) have experienced some form of sexual activity.

b) Almost one quarter of Year 10 students (23%), one third of Year 11 students (34%) and one half of Year 12 students (50%) had experienced sexual intercourse;

c) Almost a quarter of sexually active students (23%) had sex with three or more people in the past year.

d) Approximately one quarter of sexually active students reported an experience of unwanted sex.[4]

Kang, et al state that the average age of first intercourse among Australian youth is 16 years, which "…is earlier than in previous generations. Like young people in other developed countries, young sexually active Australians are more likely to have multiple partners, unprotected sex and high-risk partners, with poorer access to services compared with adults, all of which puts them at an increased risk of acquiring and transmitting sexually transmissible infections (STIs)." [7]

Almost 13% of the Australian population report being frightened or forced into a sexual experience. The mean age of first being coerced into sexual activity for males and females is about 16 years, with 2.8% of men and 10.3% of women reporting sexual coercion before this age. A significant proportion of sexually active secondary school students also report having unwanted or unprotected sex as a result of being intoxicated. Patrick Parkinson from the University of Sydney suggests that, "the increased incidence of premature sexual activity and unwanted sex may well be (caused by) the increased sexualisation of young people due to exposure to pornography before the age of 16". [8]

As these figures highlight, Australian young people are engaging in sexual activity much earlier than previous generations. [9] Early sexual initiation is linked to a number of adverse health and psychological outcomes, such as a greater risk of STIs, unprotected sex, high risk and multiple partners, depression, and alcohol and drug abuse. [10] In turn, young people struggle to enter into marriage, begin families, and parent their children in ways that make for stable family lives and a healthy environment for bringing up children. Society itself also suffers without the socially stabilising foundation of functional marriages and families. [11]

Sexual education in schools and universities

It should be noted that sex education in Australia over recent decades has failed to arrest the decline in age of sexual debut, and, in a number of the markers already mentioned, has not brought greater levels of safety and wellbeing in relation to sexual activity. Instead, sex education curricula in Australian schools and universities creates the impression that sex during teenage years, and particularly under the age of sixteen or seventeen (the legal age of consent in Australia, depending on State), is a normal part of growing up.

Sex education in Australia tends to sexualise children even more by normalising non-monogamous sexual contact at a younger age. This approach is contrary to significant studies showing that the greater maturity of age at sexual debut, and the more often sex takes place in the context of long-term, monogamous relationships such as marriage, the better the outcome for both individuals and broader society. [11]

Gender theory in schools

Many see this accelerated sexualisation as a normal part of growing up. However, others observe that, under the guise of addressing issues of violence and respect, the education system has become a vehicle for further sexualisation, including embracing gender theory. Current approaches to gender theory in education now include:

a) Teaching that being male or female is a social construct and that gender is fluid, though in truth the great majority of Australian men and women see themselves within the natural biological categories of male or female. Therefore, to teach children to question heterosexuality as natural is illogical. [12]

b) Giving the impression that gender uncertainty and confusion is common and normal. In fact, research shows that, except in a small number of cases, gender is binary and the vast majority of people who experience gender confusion for a while, later embrace their birth sex. As one study notes: only a tiny minority of children who experience cross-gender identification will continue to do so into adolescence or adulthood; [13]

c) Emphasising sexual pleasure (however it is achieved). The positive aspects of sexual relationships within marriage are clear, but one has to question whether school classrooms are the place for discussion of fashionable alternatives. Respect should also be afforded to parental concern regarding children being instructed in public school classrooms on "emotional, physical and biological responses to sexual arousal … the sensory places/areas on the body that stimulate sexual pleasure or cause arousal' and 'pleasurable sexual acts such as masturbation'. Why are our classrooms becoming places for learning pedagogies of sexual pleasure?" [14]

Most parents of school age children will find the influence of this socio-political movement alarming. Their alarm is beyond moralising. It is a genuine concern that the sexualisation of children is causing serious health and social impacts that should not be normalised. Parents are rightly concerned about the ready access to pornography and the sexual activity of teenage children. Parents rightly expect schools to educate, not indoctrinate. It is not the role of schools to teach

about erogenous zones, masturbation, and gender fluidity or to politicise and sexualise the identity of the young.

Considerations for schools and education policy

Identity formation is more than sexuality, and to deny the opportunity to discuss this reality is to deny young people an important part of growing up. Young people may explore their identity, including their sexual orientation, but it should not be assumed by educators that this determines their permanent orientation. Political debate should not intrude into their freedom to grow normally and in accord with parental expectations.

Identity politics carries with it much more than demands for sexual orientation rights. The language of oppression and civil rights carries a deep cynicism about family, religion (typically Christianity) and government.

Schools are for critical thinking and learning, not for the destructive analysis of one's own culture and institutions. Families have historically been the institution responsible for the socialisation of children and the development of their values. Accordingly, governments need to implement evidence-based moderate sex education strategies for schools that respect the primary role of parents to promote responsible behaviour and good decision making, and moderate the deliberate sexualisation of students.

There is an obvious need for education in the responsibilities and risks, not only of the possibilities of sexuality. How technology is shaping this should also be properly understood and managed. Accordingly, the following should be researched, resourced and implemented:

a) Partnering with parents on school curriculum programs related to sexuality by ensuring direct parental input in the drafting of programs, rather than leaving matters solely to academics whose focus has obviously desensitised them;

b) Improve transparency: Require parental permission to teach children such topics (that is, opt-in rather than opt-out with no mandating of such programs). Allow parents to be present during any such lessons; and make curriculum materials freely available to the public with acknowledgement of which programs are used in each school.

c) Teach a holistic view of sexual activity, not merely as a biological action or a means of pleasure. It is a fundamentally moral action as it deeply affects all who engage in sexual experience – even though some may not concede that their reactions are moral. The current language of 'full consent' is in fact a moral position.

Consideration of Christian Identity perspectives

Christianity has a high view of human identity and value, grounded in the person of Christ as the ideal person who selflessly lives and dies for others. While no Christian is able to emulate Christ perfectly, Christ remains the single greatest influence in Christian identity and societal engagement. This is captured in His words, *"Do to others as you would have them do to you"* (Matthew 7:12).

The flourishment of identity and value is an intrinsic part of the mission of the Church. The theological idea of all people being made in the likeness of God (Imago Dei) implies healing from fractured and fragmented identity and restoration into well-rounded and well-adjusted personhood. This is a matter of faith and conscience for Christians rather than a social theory.

The natural, biological family provides a unique basis for identity – not just in the family name and history, but in the very genetics, physical and psychological traits. Biology and genetic familial history trump ideological identity formation and should be respected far more by educational and cultural institutions. Disrespect for the familial bonds between parents, children and other biological family relations is a serious fault-line in contemporary culture.

In closing, Christians find identity politics and sexualisation of children particularly unacceptable because it damages children in their self-understanding and in their capacity to relate to others in a healthy way. Furthermore it runs counter to their faith position about human identity – that it is much broader than sexuality and that it is based in the biblical revelation of being made in the likeness of God as exemplified in Christ.

References

1. Linda Papadopoulos, 'Sexualisation of young people review', London, Home Office Publication, February 2010.

2. Kamal Weerakoon, 'Sexualisation', Resource paper 3, Gospel, Society and Culture committee, PCNSW, 2013.

3. Carl Trueman, 'The Path to—and from—Here: Reflections on Sexual Identity Past and Present', Ordained Servant Online, August 2016, https://opc.org/os.html?article_id=566&issue_id=117.

4. A. Mitchell, K. Patrick, W. Heywood, P. Blackman, M. Pitts, 5th National Survey of Australian Secondary Students and Sexual Health 2013, ARCSHS Monograph Series No. 97, La Trobe University, Melbourne.

5. Shelley Walker, Lena Sanci & Meredith TempleSmith, 'Sexting and Young People: Experts' views', Youth Studies Australia Vol.30 no.4, 2011.

6. Michael Flood, 'The harms of pornography exposure among children and young people', Child Abuse Review, 18(6), 2009, pp. 384-400, 2009.

7. M. Kang, R. Skinner, T. Usherwood, 'Interventions for young people in Australia to reduce HIV and sexually transmissible infections: a systematic review', Sexual Health, 2010,7, 107–128.

8. Patrick Parkinson, For Kids' Sake: Repairing the Social Environment for Australian Children and Young People, University of Sydney, 2011.

9. Melissa Kang, Rachel Skinner, Terri Foran, 'Sex, Contraception and Health', Australian Family Physician Vol. 36, No. 8, August 2007, 9.595.

10. For example, Laura E. Prendergast Rachel K. Leung, John W. Toumbourou, Angela Taft, Barbara J. McMorris, and Richard F. Catalano, 'Sexual behaviour in early adolescence: A cross-national comparison of Australian and United States youth', Australian Journal of Psychology 2017; 69: 3–11; Asa A. Kastbom, Gunilla Sydsjo, Marie Bladh, Gisela Priebe, Carl-Goran Svedin,' Sexual debut before the age of 14 leads to poorer psychosocial health and risky behaviour in later life', Acta Pædiatrica 2015, 104, pp.91–100 . Kari Kluger, Sara Vasilenko, Nicole Butera, 'Long-Term Consequences of Early Sexual Initiation on Young Adult Health', Journal of Early Adolesence, Volume: 37 issue: 5, page(s): 662-676, 2017 ; DL Kaplan, EJ Jones, EC Olson, CB Yunzal-Butler, 'Early age of first sex and health risk in an urban adolescent population', Journal of School Health, Vol 83, 5, 2013. Sara Vasilenko, Kari Kluger, Cara Rice, 'Timing of First Sexual Intercourse and Young Adult Health Outcomes', Journal of Adolescent Health, Vol 59, 3, September 2016, pp.291-297; Sara E. Sandberg-Thoma & Claire M. Kamp Dush, 'Casual Sexual Relationships and Mental Health in Adolescence and Emerging Adulthood', The Journal of Sex Research Vol. 51, 2 ,2014.

11. See Patrick Parkinson, For Kids' Sake: Repairing the Social Environment for Australian Children and Young People, University of Sydney, 2011.

12. Patrick Parkinson, The Controversy over the Safe Schools Program – Finding the Sensible Centre, Sydney Law School Legal Studies Research Paper, No. 16/83, September 2016.

13. Lawrence S. Mayer and Paul R. McHugh, "Executive Summary," Sexuality and Gender: Findings from the Biological, Psychological, and Social Sciences, The New Atlantis, No. 50, Fall 2016, pp. 7-9.

14. Debbie Ollis, 'I felt like I was watching porn': the reality of preparing pre-service teachers to teach about sexual pleasure, Sex Education, 16:3, 2016, pp. 308-323.